

# Southend-on-Sea Borough Council

Agenda  
Item No.

Report of Corporate Director of Enterprise,  
Tourism and the Environment

to

**Traffic & Parking Working Party and  
Cabinet Committee**

On

**21<sup>st</sup> September 2009**

Report prepared by: John Lee,  
Engineer

---

## Members Requests for Parking, Crossing, Speed & Road Safety Schemes

Executive Councillor: Councillor Waite

*A Part 1 Public Agenda Item*

---

### 1. Purpose of Report

To provide an update on progress of Member's Requests for parking, crossing, speed and road safety schemes as listed in **Appendix 1**.

### 2. Recommendation

That the following be noted:

- (i) Your attention is drawn to additional comments in Appendix 1, which are shown in bold italic type.
- (ii) New request have been added in appendix1 with the following reference: North Shoebury Road (Ref C8 & M5), Broadway Leigh (Ref C9 & C10), Eastwood Road (Ref C11), Eastwood Lane (Ref M6), The Bell PH (Ref M8), Westleigh Road (Ref M9), Eastern Avenue (Ref M10) and Repton Grove (Ref M11).

### 3. Background

This report is an update on the report, which went to Traffic & Parking Working Party & Cabinet Committee on 15<sup>th</sup> June 2009. This report is working towards prioritisation of the councillor's requests.

## **4. Corporate Implications**

### **4.1 Contribution to Council's Vision & Corporate Priorities:**

Once developed and implemented these can have an impact on Customer Satisfaction by reducing concerns about road safety, parking and improve the environment and street scene.

### **4.2 Financial Implications**

An estimated sum of £5,000 is to be spent from the LTP programme to cover the cost of Pedestrian counts at Cambridge Road (Ref C9), Western Approaches (Ref C10), Manners Way (Ref C13) and Eastwood Road (Ref C14). Consultation at Montague Court (Ref M2), pedestrian drop crossing at The Bell (Ref M8) and a street name board at Repton Grove (Ref M11).

### **4.3 Legal Implications**

Implementation of some schemes will require Traffic Regulation Orders and Notices, which will follow the statutory legal processes.

### **4.4 People Implications**

The work to implement these schemes can be met within existing staff resources or supported by the Council's term contractor for highway works.

### **4.5 Property Implications**

None

### **4.6 Consultation**

Most schemes will involve informal and formal consultation.

### **4.7 Equalities and Diversity Implications**

The proposals for all schemes will consider those with physical mobility limitations and make improvements for those with visual impairments as far as possible.

### **4.8 Risk Assessment**

None at present

#### 4.9 Value for Money

All work will be designed in house or with the use of term consultants and implemented by term contractors. All contracts have been tendered in competition and in accordance with Procurement Guidelines and demonstrate value for money.

#### 4.10 Community Safety Implications

We are yet to prioritise the schemes but community safety will directly benefit through the schemes, which contribute towards improvement to road safety, parking and reduced traffic speed.

#### 4.11 Environmental Impact

There will be local environmental improvement through reduction of speed, improved road safety and parking schemes.

### 5. Background Papers

Traffic & Parking Working Party & Cabinet Committee report on 6<sup>th</sup> November 2008, 17<sup>th</sup> December 2008, 12<sup>th</sup> February 2009, 29<sup>th</sup> April 2009, 15<sup>th</sup> June 2009 including Appendix 1, and project file number TH/P/5004.

### 6. Appendices

Appendix 1 – Members Request 21<sup>st</sup> September 09

Note: Sections are labelled for ease of reference:

P for Parking pages 1  
C for Crossing Facilities pages 2 to 4  
S for Speeding/Road Safety pages 5 to 7  
M for Miscellaneous pages 8 to 10